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1. Introduction

1.1 This supplementary planning guidance provides information to help with planning applications on minerals safeguarding areas within the National Park. It does not form part of the Local Development Plan, but as it has been adopted\(^1\) has significant weight in deciding whether a proposal can receive planning permission. The extent of the mineral safeguarding areas can be found on the Local Development Plan proposals map\(^2,3\).

1.2 A Report of Consultations detailing how the guidance was consulted upon is available to view on the Authority's website.\(^4\)

1.3 This guidance has been updated following the publication of new British Geological Survey (BGS) Aggregate Safeguarding Maps of Wales. Co-funded by the Welsh Government and BGS, these maps were produced to assist Mineral Planning Authorities in the delineation of aggregates safeguarding areas in Local Development Plans\(^5\). The South West Wales map shows a significant increase in the amount of safeguarded land within the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park, from the minerals safeguarding areas shown on the Local Development Plan Proposals Map. A link to the South West Wales map is available to view on the Authority’s website via the interactive proposals map\(^6\). The proposals map will be updated during the Local Development Plan's review, to reflect the new British Geological Survey data.

1.4 This guidance has been updated to provide additional and refined information on the determination process for planning applications which are located on minerals safeguarding areas. Technical updates are shown as track changes, with footnotes to explain each change. These changes were inserted in June 2014.

2. Background and Context

2.1 The Welsh Assembly\(^7\)—Government requires that minerals are safeguarded against unnecessary sterilisation by permanent development. In some cases prior extraction of the mineral can allow the development to take place. In other cases a different site should be sought for the development. Exceptionally the application can be refused.

---

\(^1\) By resolution of the National Park Authority on the 22\(^{nd}\) June 2011

\(^2\) The BGS dataset has been developed at 1:50,000 scale and a minimum 50m buffer should be used when considering the extent of the mineral safeguarding zone.

\(^3\) Text and footnote deleted as no longer accurate. See paragraph 1.3

\(^4\) [weblink to report of consultations](http://www.pembrokeshirecoast.org.uk/default.asp?PID=183)


\(^7\) Now called Welsh Government
2.2 This guidance sets out the issues which need to be addressed when applications are submitted on mineral safeguarding zones. The attached flow chart sets out this process.

3. Policy Context

Local Development Plan Policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy 21</th>
<th>Minerals Safeguarding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reserves of sand and gravel, limestone and sandstone will be safeguarded as shown on the proposals map.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extraction of minerals before development which would otherwise sterilise mineral resources of current or likely future economic importance will be required, provided there is no suitable alternative location and an overriding need for the development, and extraction can be achieved:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) without prejudicing the proposed development; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) by completing the extraction within a reasonable timeframe; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) without unacceptable environmental impacts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 A series of stages will need to be gone through to establish whether there is a need for the development to take place within a mineral safeguarding area, and if need is established, whether the mineral can be extracted prior to development.

3.2 The flow chart attached to this guidance provides an indication of the process and questions which should be answered. The following notes should help with this. In the first instance, where insufficient information is provided, the Authority will seek the necessary information. A proportionate approach will be taken; the level of information required will depend on the specific details of the proposed development. If this is not provided, the application is likely to be refused on the ground of lack of proper justification.

---

3 Text added to give further explanation of the Authority’s approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MINERALS STERILISATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is this a householder</strong> application or within an existing curtilage?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is this a temporary development?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is an alternative suitable location available outside the Mineral Safeguarding Area?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planning applicants will need to demonstrate why this is the only suitable location for the proposal, and must make a case for the development to be located within a mineral safeguarding area.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is there an overriding need for the development? E.g.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- allocated within the LDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- community need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- functional business need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- affordable housing need</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applications which fail to demonstrate that the development must take place on the mineral safeguarding zone are likely to be refused. Applications which are acceptable under this part of the Policy must go on to consider whether prior extraction of the resource is possible.

---

\(^9\) These include works and extensions to an existing dwelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIOR EXTRACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Would landscape impact of prior extraction be detrimental to the special qualities of the National Park?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Are there recognised historic features which must be retained?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Are there trees and hedgerows / hedge banks which must be retained?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The National Park Authority will consider whether environmental considerations outweigh the need for prior extraction. The landscape character, historic, ecological and biodiversity features will be a consideration. Prior extraction can destroy these features, and a balance will need to be struck about their contribution to the landscape of the National Park, the character of the new development and ecological connectivity for example.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The applicant should provide all relevant information to the Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is the resource limestone and within 200metres of existing sensitive development?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is the resource sand and gravel (or other resources where no blasting is required) and within 100metres of existing sensitive development?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard rock limestone normally requires blasting to extract it. Minerals Planning Policy Wales National planning guidance states that blasting should not take place within a 200m buffer zone around existing sensitive development. Where no blasting is required, the buffer zone is 100m around existing sensitive development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The applicant will be required to demonstrate that limestone the resource cannot be extracted because of existing sensitive uses within 200m of the relevant buffer zone of the proposed development and that the mineral resource is already sterilised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is the mineral confirmed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Geological Survey can provide confirmation that the mineral exists at this location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If the mineral is confirmed, the planning applicant will be required to investigate prior extraction. There may still be reasons why extraction cannot take place e.g. the extraction will delay the development beyond a reasonable timescale, the extraction is not technically feasible, or there may be no local market for the material.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The developer will need to demonstrate why it is not practical or feasible to extract the material. This may relate to the financial viability of the scheme, a lack of market for the material or technical details such as the impact on the water table or aquifers for example.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It may be possible to modify the proposal to avoid sterilisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A separate planning application is required to assess the working of the mineral</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Authority will determine a planning application for prior extraction of the mineral before built permanent development can take place.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

10 'Sensitive development' is any building occupied by people on a regular basis and includes housing areas, hostels, meeting places, schools and hospitals where an acceptable standard of amenity should be expected. Minerals Technical Advice Note (Wales) 1: Aggregates (2004), paragraph 70

11 Text added to reflect the two stated buffer zones within Minerals Technical Advice Note (Wales) 1: Aggregates (2004) paragraphs 70-71. The attached flow chart has also been amended accordingly

12 There is a commercial charge for this service
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4. Useful contacts

Further information available from

Julie Kirk  Richard James
Planning Officer
Development Plans
Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority
Llanion Park
Pembroke Dock
Pembrokeshire
SA72 6DY

devplans@pcnpa.org.uk

telephone: 0845 345 7275

British Geological Survey,
Columbus House,
Greenmeadow Springs,
Tongwynlais,
Cardiff, CF15 7NE
Tel: 0 2920 521962
E-mail: bgswales@bgs.ac.uk

13 Contact details updated
Planning Application within a Minerals Safeguarding Area

**MINERAL STERILISATION ISSUES**

- No further justification required under MSA.
- Relocate the development away from the mineral safeguarding area.
- If there is no overriding need for the development and it would result in unnecessary sterilisation of mineral resources, planning permission will be refused.

**PRIOR EXTRACTION ISSUES**

- Would landscape impact of prior extraction be detrimental to the special qualities of the National Park?
  - Yes
    - The National Park Authority will consider whether environmental considerations outweigh the need for prior extraction
  - No
    - Is there an overriding need for the development? E.g.
      - allocated within the LDP
      - community need
      - functional business need
      - affordable housing need
    - Yes
      - Investigate prior extraction e.g.
        - Can the mineral be extracted within a reasonable timescale?
        - Can the mineral be re-used on site?
        - Is extraction technically and economically feasible?
    - No
      - Is the mineral confirmed at this location?
        - Yes
          - No further justification required under MSA
        - No
          - No further justification required under MSA

**PLANNING OUTCOMES**

- Yes
  - No further justification required under MSA