Potential site analysis for site 388, Rosebush - Near Belle Vue

Associated settlementCountrysideLDP settlement tierSmaller settlementsCommunity Council areaMaenclochogSite area (hectares)0.33

Site register reference(s) (if proposed as development site for LDP) No LDP site registration

Relationship to designated areas

Not within 500 metres of a SAC. Not within 500 metres of a SPA. Not within 500 metres of a National Nature Reserve. Not within 100 metres of a Local Nature Reserve. Not within 500 metres of a Marine Nature Reserve. Not within 100 metres of a Woodland Trust Nature Reserve. Not within 100 metres of a Wildlife Trust Nature Reserve. Not within 100 metres of Access Land. Not within 100 metres of a Scheduled Ancient Monument. Not within 50 metres of a Listed Building. Partly within a Historic Landscape Area. Not within 100 metres of a Historic Garden. Not within 50 metres of Contaminated Land. Not within airfield safeguarding zones for buildings under 15m high. Not within HSE safeguarding zones. Not within MoD safeguarding zones for buildings under 15m high. Not within 10 metres of a Tree Protection Order. Not within 100 metres of ancient or semi-natural woodland. Underlying Agricultural Land Classification: 4 (1 is Agriculturally most valuable, 5 is least valuable). Not within a quarry buffer zone. Not within safeguarded route for roads or cycleways. Site includes Public Right of Way.

Not a Village Green.

Stage one commentary

Site is not wholly within a Site of Special Scientific Interest; Natura 2000 site; National, Local, Marine, Woodland Trust or Wildlife Trust nature reserve; or Scheduled Ancient Monument.

Does the site pass stage one site criteria tests? Yes

Stage two evaluation			
Ownership	Not known		
General overview	This is site centrally located to the rear of surrounding properties with a narrow access to the village road. The site has benefitted from planning permission, but the number of units will be limited by the poor access.		
Greenfield or Brownfield/PDI	Greenfield	Estimated number of dwellings	2
Adjoining uses and access	Residential. Access thre village road.	bugh gap between existing properties fror	n
Visible constraints to development	Poor access with no sc	ope for improvement.	
Impact on National Park's Special Qualities	which have a pervading strong sense of exposu national historical and o Rosebush developed in the nearby quarry, the a quarried slate and the p tourists on the railway. Pleasure gardens with o and a hotel are still press character of the village. development within the	the southern slopes of the Preseli Hills sense of remoteness and wildness and a re. This is a landscape of recognised ultural significance. The village of the late 19th century fuelled by growth in rrival of the railway to transport the romotion of the village as a spa to attract The row of Quarrymen's' cottages, the ornamental ponds constructed in the 1870 ent today and are central themes to the The site is contained by existing village and its development would not ualities of the National Park.	

Landscape impact mitigation measures

Affordable housing capacity assessment

General notes

Development planning history

Allocated as Residential in Local Plan ----- Wholly within area designated as ResidentialAllocation in JUDP

Planning application history (planning applications within, overlapping or adjacent to the potential site)

Application code	Application type	Proposal	Decision	Decision date
04/246	OL	Erection of 5 No Dwellings	CAN	20-Jul-2004
07/601	FULL	Alterations & Extension	APP	22-Jan-2008

Summary of geological risk (class A is lowest risk, class E is highest risk)

Running sand class A; compressible ground class A; landslide class B; no soluble rocks; shrink swell class A

Summary of flood risk (from TAN 15)

Not within a TAN 15 zone

Public transport service

Distance from potential sites to selected services in kilometres

1.98	Nearest doctor	10.09
0.31	Nearest Dentist	10.08
1.95	Nearest secondary school	11.67
1.98	Nearest petrol station	2
6.54	Nearest police station	11.63
0.06	Nearest library	13.97
1.06	Nearest cash point	1.99
10.32		
	0.31 1.95 1.98 6.54 0.06 1.06	 0.31 Nearest Dentist 1.95 Nearest secondary school 1.98 Nearest petrol station 6.54 Nearest police station 0.06 Nearest library 1.06 Nearest cash point

* Distances are in kilometres, 'as the crow flies'

Consultee responses

	Date of	
Consultee	response	Response
Dwr Cymru		No objections.
Countryside Council for Wales		No response received
Environment Agency Wa	les 08/01/2008	Infill. No flooding/sewer problems. Need buffer zone along stream and no culverts.
PCC Highways	15/11/2007	Previous comments remain applicable.
Dyfed Archaeology	30/05/2008	Within close proximity to the line of a dismantled railway. Sites with known visible features, which need to be either excluded or retained within any development proposal. Further assessment or evaluation is required to define areas of surviving associated archaeological remains prior to allocation. Any further remains would potentially also need to be retained but may not extend across the whole site. Parts of these areas may therefore need to be excluded from any allocation.
Reasons site is suitable for developmentThis site has been allocated for residential development in the Joint Unitary Development Plan for Pembrokeshire and has had planning permission for 2 units.		
Reasons site is not suitable for development		
Does the site pass stag	e two tests?	Yes Proposed use Housing

Stage three: Sustainability Appraisal

Sustainability Objective	, Summary	Commentary
1	++	The is a small site contained within existing housing.
2		Rosebush has only extremely limited services and a very irregular bus service which does not provide a realistic alternative to the car.
3	++	The site is wholly contained within existing housing.
4	0	Though the development will increase the population and therefore the pool of residents that could be taking part in physical recreation, the Sustainability Objective seeks a change in behaviour such that a greater proportion of residents and non-residents are taking part in physical recreation in the Park, and therefore enjoying the health benefits.
5	0	The development will not achieve this aim.
6	+	The site is not within an area liable to flooding. Development should incorporate energy efficiency and sustainable design principles.
7	+	Housing will be built according to the sustainable design policies of the Plan requiring the highest standards for energy efficiency.
8	++	The site can be used to accommodate a range of housing, including, identified need for affordable housing. Development will also help to sustain services locally.
9	+	The site can be used to accommodate identified need for affordable housing.
10	++	This is a small site which would not threaten the culture of this community.
11	0	Development of an individual site is irrelevant to this Sustainability Objective, as its goal is to avoid negative effects of minerals acquisition wherever and whatever the use of those mineral products.
12	0	Development here is likely to marginally increase waste, though this inevitable and the only way to avoid this would be to have no development and no increase in population (in or outside the Park, as displacement of the waste generation would not eliminate its harmful effects).
13	+	The Authority does not have SPG in place on developer contributions which would help inform this element of the appraisal. No needs other than those identified by statutory consultees are currently apparent apart from affordable housing.
14	+	There may be opportunity for habitat creation within this site through the planning process.
15		Policy 17, Sustainable Design aims to make efficient use of water resources. Issues relating to water quality are addressed at Stage 2 and through the Habitats Regulation Screening and Assessment at Stage 5.

Overall Sustainability Appraisal

The site is within a village with extremely limited services. Currently the very irregular bus links do not provide a realistic alternative to the car. Improved public transport to other centres would allow further consideration of this site for development.

Is the site acceptable for development after Sustainability Appraisal No