

**ARCHWILIO CDLI (DISODLI)
AWDURDOD PARC CENEDLAETHOL
ARFORDIR PENFRO**

Yr Arolygydd: Mrs Nicola Gulley
Swyddog Rhaglen: Mrs Caroline Llewellyn
SwyddogRhaglen@arfordirpenfro.org.uk
07773 932339
Parc Llanion, Doc Penfro, SA72 6DY

www.arfordirpenfro.cymru/ldpexamination

**PEMBROKESHIRE COAST NATIONAL
PARK AUTHORITY LDP
(REPLACEMENT) EXAMINATION**

Inspector: Mrs Nicola Gulley
Programme Officer: Mrs Caroline Llewellyn
Programmeofficer@pembrokeshirecoast.org.uk
07773 932339
Llanion Park, Pembroke Dock, SA72 6DY

www.pembrokeshirecoast.wales/ldpexamination

10 June 2019

Pembrokeshire County Council – Tony Streatfield
Marloes & St Brides Community Council
Bourne Leisure represented by Lichfields – Helen Ashby-Ridgway
Development Plans Team, Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Authority

Dear Sir/Madam

Agenda: Session 5 – Visitor Economy, Employment and Community Facilities

Further to my previous correspondence, the agenda for the above mentioned Hearing Session is enclosed for your information. Please note that the Session will take place in the Warrior Room at the Pater Hall, Dimond Street, Pembroke Dock, SA72 6DD on Thursday 4th July 2019 and will begin at 2.00pm. Access to this room is via Lewis Street. A map and directions to the Hall are available on the website www.pembrokeshirecoast.wales/ldpexamination or from myself – my contact details are given above. **If you are unable to attend the above-mentioned Session, I would be grateful if you would let me know.**

Please note that while this is a public meeting and anyone can attend to listen to the discussion, participation is limited to those who have submitted duly made representations seeking a change to the Local Development Plan and who have previously informed me of their intention to speak. **Any person wishing to speak in Welsh is requested to contact me as soon as possible so that translation facilities may be arranged. Not informing me beforehand has no impact on your right to participate in Welsh but proceedings may be delayed in order to arrange for simultaneous translation services to be provided.**

Yours faithfully

Caroline Llewellyn

Programme Officer



Rydym yn croesawu cael gohebiaeth yn Gymraeg, a byddwn yn ateb gohebiaeth yn Gymraeg. Ni fydd gohebu yn Gymraeg yn arwain at oedi.

We welcome receiving correspondence in Welsh, and will respond to any correspondence in Welsh. Corresponding in Welsh will not lead to delay.

Agenda: Session 5 – Visitor Economy, Employment and Community Facilities
Thursday 4 July 2019 at 2.00pm
Warrior Room, Pater Hall, Pembroke Dock

Key Issue: Are the Plan's requirements for visitor economy, employment, community facilities, retail and sustainable transport supported by robust and credible evidence and consistent with national policy?

1. Introduction

2. Procedural Matters

3. Questions

Economy

1. *What is the Plan's overarching strategy for the economy of the National Park, and is it supported by robust evidence?*

Visitor Economy

2. Does the Plan, through Policy 39 provide an appropriate framework for the consideration of proposals for new or enhanced tourism accommodation, facilities and attractions?

3. Does Policy 40 provide an appropriate framework to manage the alternative uses for all existing tourism development?

4. Why do Policies 39(d) and 41 seek to prevent the construction of new self-catering accommodation on greenfield sites, and is this approach supported by robust evidence?

5. Are the requirements of Policies 42 and 43 clear and consistent with national policy?

Employment

6. Is the Plan's employment strategy founded on a robust evidence base and is it consistent with national Policy?

- a) What are the key drivers for change in National Park's employment market? and how has the Plan addressed these considerations?
- b) Should the Plan allocate land for employment related development?
- c) Does the Plan provide a clear framework for the development of rural enterprises?

7. Does the Plan provide sufficient protection for existing employment land and property?

- a) Does the evidence base contain a robust quantitative and qualitative analysis of the existing employment land and property?

- b) Does Policy 45 provide an appropriate framework for the safeguarding of existing key employment sites?
- c) How will proposals for alternative uses be assessed?

Retail

8. Is the Plan's retail strategy founded on robust evidence, and is it consistent with national Policy?
- a) Does the retail strategy take into account the envisaged growth in other sectors, particularly housing and the visitor economy?
 - b) Should Policy 54 include reference to the need for new retail floorspace in the National Park over the Plan period?
 - c) How will this need for additional retail floorspace be met?
 - d) Are the requirements of Policy 56 supported by robust evidence?
9. Do the Plan's retail policies provide an appropriate level of protection and flexibility to manage the hierarchy of shopping areas?
- a) How will the Plan assess proposals for the redevelopment of existing retail premises for non-retail uses?
10. Is the Plan's retail strategy sufficiently flexible to respond to changing circumstances and does it include clear and appropriate mechanisms for implementation and monitoring?

Transport

11. Does the Plan sufficiently promote the integration and co-ordination of transport measures and land use planning?
- a) Should the Plan identify the Road and Cycle schemes identified in Table 3 of the Sustainable Transport Background Paper (NPA068)?
12. Does the Plan adequately promote active travel, and reduce the need to travel?
13. Are the requirements of Policy 58 clear and consistent with the requirements of national policy?

Community Facilities

14. Is the Plan's approach to community facilities appropriate and founded on robust evidence?
15. Does Policy 53 provide an appropriate mechanism for securing new community facilities and infrastructure?
- a) Does the policy provide an appropriate framework for the protection of existing, and delivery of future, community facilities and infrastructure?
 - b) Does the policy provide a clear and consistent framework for securing planning obligations?

4. Any Other Business