

Application Ref: NP/12/0479

Application Type	Full
Grid Ref:	SM85791266
Applicant	Mr & Mrs T Thomas
Agent	Mr Andrew Vaughan-Harries, Hayston Development & Planning
Proposal	Construction of dwelling & detached garage
Site Location	Plot 3, Blockett Lane, Little Haven, Haverfordwest, Pembrokeshire, SA62 3UH
Case Officer	Julia Evans

Updated Summary

This application was reported to the Development Management Committee on 19th December 2012 where it was resolved to carry out a site inspection on this, and three other related applications, which took place on 9th January 2013. Following that inspection it was reported at the meeting on 23rd January 2013 that it had been found, through a land registry search, that the ownership certificates for the four applications submitted at that time may be incorrect and the legal advice was that the determination of the applications should not proceed until the situation had been checked.

Since that time further legal advice has ascertained that the certificates, in respect of Plot 3 only were correct and that while the applicant had not shown in the application that they owned the remainder of the land upon which other plots were proposed (contrary to the ownership certificates provided in respect of those plots), this did not render the application for Plot 3 invalid and as such this particular application can be determined. The other three applications, however, cannot be determined until such time as correct certificates as to ownership are provided.

Summary

This is a full application for a single dwelling at Plot 3, land off Blockett Lane, Little Haven. It lies in the open countryside to the eastern side of Blockett Lane. The proposal has been carefully considered against all material considerations and the relevant national and local development plan policies. On balance the application is recommended for refusal for four reasons. The first and second are that the proposed dwelling and the new access are considered harmful to the special qualities of the National Park. The third is that the proposal fails to provide affordable housing dwellings on site in accordance with Policy 45, and the fourth is on highway grounds.

The application has been referred to the Development Management Committee, at the discretion of the Head of Development Management, due to the history of the site.

Consultee Response

The Havens Community Council: Objecting - The style, mass and detailing of this property is quite inappropriate in this setting.

Dwr Cymru Welsh Water: Conditional Consent

Environment Agency Wales: Conditional Consent

PCC - Transportation & Environment: Objecting

PCNPA Buildings Conservation Officer: No adverse comments

Coal Authority: No adverse comments

Western Power: Attention needs to be drawn to proximity of Western Power distribution infrastructure, in particular plots 3 and 4. Therefore should approval be granted contact with us at an early stage would be advisable.

PCC - Common Land Officer: No objection

PCC - Education Department: Reply - I have undertaken a calculation to ascertain S106 contributions and can advise as follows: The proposed development sits within the catchments of Broad Haven Primary school and Tasker Milward Secondary school. There is sufficient capacity and therefore no S106 contributions are required for secondary education. As there are forecast to be primary surplus places prior to housing commitments being considered, the contribution can be reduced. Consequently, the contributions for primary education is Â£2107 per dwelling.

PCC - Head of Public Protection: Conditional Consent

Public Response

The application has been advertised and neighbour notifications undertaken. Responses from two households have been received making the following comments:-

- Objection to the creation of a new access when there is a perfectly adequate one existing that can be used;
- The new access would result in the loss of a 200 year old hedge and ruin the character of the lane;
- Removing trees would increase flooding in an area where drains cannot cope; and
- There is a "strange wall" at the south-east of the boundary to Plot 1 that serves no useful purpose. It is an eyesore and should be removed.

Policies considered

Please note that these policies can be viewed on the Policies page Pembrokehire Coast National Park website - <http://www.pembrokeshirecoast.org.uk/default.asp?PID=549>

LDP Policy 01 - National Park Purposes and Duty

LDP Policy 07 - Countryside

LDP Policy 08 - Special Qualities
LDP Policy 09 - Light Pollution
LDP Policy 11 - Protection of Biodiversity
LDP Policy 15 - Conservation of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park
LDP Policy 29 - Sustainable Design
LDP Policy 30 - Amenity
LDP Policy 32 - Surface Water Drainage
LDP Policy 33 - Renewable Energy
LDP Policy 45 – Affordable housing
LDP Policy 48 - Community Facilities and Infrastructure Requirements
LDP Policy 52 - Sustainable Transport
LDP Policy 53 - Impacts on traffic
PPW5 Chapter 04 - Planning for Sustainability
PPW5 Chapter 05 - Conserving and Improving Natural Heritage and the Coast
PPW5 Chapter 06 - Conserving the Historic Environment
PPW5 Chapter 08 - Transport
PPW5 Chapter 09 - Housing
PPW5 Chapter 12 - Infrastructure and Services
PPW5 Chapter 13 - Minimising and Managing Environmental Risks and Pollution
SPG04 - Planning Obligations
SPG05 - Sustainable Design
SPG06 - Landscape
SPG08 - Affordable Housing
SPG11 - Coal Works - Instability
SPG12 - Parking
SPG13 - Archaeology
SPG14 - Renewable Energy plus Addendum on Field Arrays
TAN 02 - Planning and Affordable Housing
TAN 05 - Nature Conservation and Planning
TAN 06 - Planning for Sustainable Rural Communities
TAN 08 - Renewable Energy
TAN 12 - Design
TAN 18 - Transport
TAN 22 - Planning for Sustainable Buildings

Officer's Appraisal

Background

This is a full application for a single dwelling at Plot 3 off Blockett Lane, Little Haven. It lies in the open countryside to the eastern side of Blockett Lane. The larger site was originally a turkey farm, and occupies an elevated position overlooking the village of Little Haven. The land has now been cleared with concrete bases, gravelled and grassed areas present on site. To the south there are two new large detached houses and their associated access off Blockett Lane. To the north of this new access and separated from it by a concrete block wall which abuts the highway, is an existing access into the cleared area to the north. Electricity poles and cables run across the south-eastern corner of the site.

The Plot is part of a larger site that was originally designated as an Environmental Improvement Area under the Local Plan. This stated that development may be permitted providing that the former poultry farm had been entirely removed and the site restored to an appropriate condition providing that the development did not conflict with other Local Plan Policies. Supplementary Planning Guidance to the Local Plan was also prepared for the site. However, with the current Local Development Plan the designation of the site as an Environmental Improvement Area was removed and it is now considered as being a brownfield site in the open countryside.

The development of the larger site has been separated into three areas. The southernmost area has been developed for two large contemporary designed houses. The land to the north has full planning permission for six dwellings: a terrace of three and three detached houses with 3 of the total provision being affordable housing. The remaining land (the central part of the site) is currently the subject of the current application for a single dwelling. Three other applications for three further detached dwellings on land within the ownership of the applicant have also been received but during their processing were found to have included incorrect ownership certificates, which suggested, incorrectly, that they were not in the same ownership as this applicant for this proposal.

Constraints

The site lies within the open countryside over a Coal Standing Advice Area, and within a Military Safeguarding Zone.

Relevant Planning History

- NP02/189 – (Approximately Plots 4 and 3) Outline application for two dwellings – Refused 20th June 2002 – Appeal allowed 11th December 2002
- NP04/586 – 5 dwellings – Refused 29th November 2004

- NP05/357 – (Approximately Plots 4 and 3) Outline for 3 dwellings - Approved 8th March 2006
- NP05/628 – (Approximately Plots 4 and 3) Outline for 2 dwellings - Approved 24th January 2006
- NP08/337 – (Plot 3) Reserved matters application for single dwelling – Withdrawn 12th September 2008
- NP08/392 – (Plot 4) Reserved matters application for single dwelling – Withdrawn 19th September 2008
- NP10/511 – (land to the north of the site) 6 dwellings – Permission 28th November 2011
- NP12/0477 – (Plot 1) Construction of dormer cottage - Live
- NP12/0478 – (Plot 2) Construction of dormer cottage - Live
- NP12/0480 – (Plot 4) Construction of single dwelling - Live

Current Proposal

The current application seeks full planning permission for a single dwelling, detached garage and associated access and internal estate distributor road some 35 metres from the existing site access. Three other applications for single dwellings have been received for Plots 1, 2, and 4 (applications NP12/0477, NP12/0478, and NP12/0480 respectively), as discussed above. The proposed dwelling would be located to the east of Blockett Lane in an area of levelled land. The application proposes both the dwelling and a new access and internal estate distributor road providing vehicular access to the remainder of the site beyond to the north and west. The access to Plot 3 would be off the estate distributor road to the north of the proposed dwelling, and would lead onto two car parking spaces plus a single detached garage with associated storage area.

The proposed dwelling would be two storeys, orientated to overlook the estate distributor road to the west. At its maximum dimensions it would measure approximately 25.6m x 14.2m x 8.2m, and it has been designed to comprise three distinct interlinked design elements. The main part of the house would be orientated on north-south alignment to provide views to the west and would be constructed of random stone to the west elevation, and smooth painted render to the rear and side elevations under a slate roof. Joined to this by two-storey glazed links would be two wings. The southern wing would be constructed of horizontal timber cladding under a curved corrugated metal roof. The northern wing is a two storey cylindrical shape constructed of corrugated metal cladding under a conical metal clad roof. The proposed dwelling has been designed to reflect local design references, including a grain silo and general purpose Dutch barn.

The proposed detached garage to the northern side of the site would be used as a single garage with inclusive storage area. At its maximum dimensions it would measure approximately 5.8m x 6.6m x 4.5m: details of materials have not been provided.

The proposed dwelling would have a finished floor level of 37.95 metres above sea level (existing levels are 37.87 metres). A grass retaining bank would run along the southern edge of the site, utilising the existing bank. The electricity lines would remain on site, crossing the south west corner of the garden, and running over the south-easternmost corner of the proposed dwelling. A hedgerow is proposed to the eastern and western boundaries of the site, with tree planting to the southern and northern boundaries. The dwelling would be connected to the main sewer and surface water would be disposed of via a soak-away.

The application has been submitted with the following supporting information:-

- A Planning Report;
- A Design and Access report;
- A Transport Statement;
- An Affordable Housing Statement, offering a sum of £29,930 as a contribution under Policy 45 of the Local Development Plan, calculated on the basis of £100 per sq. metre of floor space as the relevant contribution required under adopted policy for single dwellings.
- A Code 3 Pre-Assessment, concluding that the house would meet Level 3; and
- A planting schedule.

Key Issues

The application raises the following planning matters:-

- Principle of the development;
- Impact on the special qualities of the National Park;
- Affordable housing matters;
- Community infrastructure requirements;
- Sustainable design;
- Highways matters;
- Landscaping;
- Archaeological matters;
- The water environment and drainage matters;
- Contaminated land matters;
- Electricity supply matters;
- Coal Referral Area matters;
- Ministry of Defense Safeguarding matters;
- Neighbouring amenity matters;
- Enforcement matters.

Principle of the development:

The proposed dwelling lies in the open countryside overlooking the village of Little Haven which lies in the valley below to the north and west. As referred to in the sections above, this site and those surrounding it, have a complex planning history, including a policy framework that once allowed the redevelopment of this site.

Although two dwellings have been built on the southern part of the site, whilst there is an extant planning permission for a further six dwellings to the north (NP10/511), the application must be determined under the current adopted Local Development Plan policy and this means that it has to be considered as a new dwelling in the open countryside. Policy 7 of the Local Development Plan makes it clear that new residential development in the open countryside is only acceptable if it is essential for farming or forestry needs. This is not the case with this application and so it has been advertised as a Departure to the adopted Local Development Plan.

In addition to considering the application under the policies of Local Development Plan, Welsh Government guidance also requires that all applications are considered in light of all relevant material considerations. In this case, planning permission NP10/0511 is material in that it permitted 6 dwellings to the north of this site, contrary to the provisions of the Local Development Plan. The decision to permit this scheme was justified by it having been previously identified in the Local Plan as an Environmental Improvement Area. It was felt that the proposal allowed the redevelopment of the site to provide environmental enhancements to the area through extensive landscaping, and that it contributed to affordable housing provision required under Policy 45 (i.e. three of the six dwellings were proposed as affordable houses). Because of this it was concluded that the development of the site offered an opportunity to secure environmental improvements and to provide affordable housing for local needs.

The current application proposes both environmental enhancements (i.e. landscaping), and a financial contribution towards affordable housing, as set out above. Notwithstanding the merits of these particular characteristics of the development which will be discussed later in this report, the principle of the site's development is therefore considered to be the same as that established in 2010, and therefore no objection can be raised to the application proposing a dwelling in the open countryside.

Impact on the Special Qualities of the National Park:

As discussed in the paragraphs above, one of the reasons that the 2010 application to the north of the site was considered acceptable was because of extensive landscaping the scheme proposed. The current proposal, for a single dwelling, needs to be considered together with the existing dwellings built and permitted on the site.

Policies 8, 15, 29, and 30 in particular in the adopted Local Development Plan seek to protect the special qualities of the National Park, including the pattern and diversity of the landscape and villages. The applicant considers that the proposal seeks to propose a two storey Pembrokeshire farmhouse linked to a two storey silo and two storey Dutch barn. It is felt that the house's design and materials incorporates traditional Pembrokeshire styles. Although this may be the case for each single element of the proposed dwelling, the visual

impression is one of a series of incongruous juxtapositions of elements, due to the proximity of the silo and Dutch barn to the main house (which would not occur in a traditional farmstead), their size and proportions, and the inclusion within them of residential doors and windows. In addition to this is the effect of the mix of materials as each element is constructed of differing materials. The consequence is that when viewed as a whole the proposed dwelling forms a visually incongruous building located in a very prominent and visible location. As a result the proposal is considered harmful to the special qualities of the National Park, and this forms the first reason for refusal.

In addition, the proposed dwelling does not use the existing access into the site, but proposes a new one. This would be in addition to the existing access to the two new houses to the south. It is considered that the rural character of Blockett Lane would be dominated by residential development with several accesses coming off it to serve the new and existing development. The new access would result in the loss of the existing hedgerow, which has been retained in the 2010 permission. As a result it is felt that the proposal is harmful to the special qualities of the National Park in that it fails to harmonise with the landscape character of the area, and results in the loss of important traditional features. This forms the second reason for refusal.

Affordable Housing Matters:

Policy 7 of the Local Development Plan states that where residential development is acceptable, affordable housing provision will take priority. Policy 45 states that "To deliver affordable housing the National Park Authority will as part of the overall housing provision" require under caveat (c) that "where affordable housing need has been identified prioritise affordable housing provision in countryside locations through filling in or rounding off or through conversion. 50% affordable housing to meet an identified need in developments of 2 or more residential units will be sought." Footnote 145 of the Local Development Plan explains that "where a planning application is received for a site below the affordable housing threshold but which is part of a larger site which is above the threshold then the Authority will expect affordable housing to be provided. This is to ensure that sites are not broken up into smaller portions and phased which would avoid the requirement for affordable housing."

This application has been supported by an affordable housing statement that proposes the provision of a financial contribution of £29,930 in accordance with the £100 per square metre requirement for single dwellings as prescribed in the Adopted Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Guidance, and the relevant contribution at the time of this submission. This figure has been determined by the applicant's calculation that the proposed dwelling's internal floor space is 299.3 square metres, and in their statement they consider that this is preferable to providing an affordable house on site as it will allow a more sustainable location to be found for it. However, Plot 3 is clearly part of a larger development site part of which already has permission including on site affordable housing and a part of which is the subject of further

applications made to the Authority for housing. If the application was a single dwelling that was not part of a larger site, then this figure would be acceptable under the Policy requirements of the Local Development Plan. However, Footnote 145 of the Local Development Plan makes it clear that where large sites are being split or phased, affordable housing requirements delivery should be provided on site. In this case it is evident that there are further plots to be developed at this site (albeit the applications have not been considered at present) which would generate a need for on-site provision. The provision of a commuted sum is therefore contrary to policy in this case, and this forms a further reason for refusal.

Community Infrastructure Requirements:

Policy 48 of the Local Development Plan states that planning permission will be granted for proposals that have made suitable arrangements for the improvement or provision of infrastructure, services and community facilities made necessary by the development. Adopted Supplementary Planning Guidance on Planning Obligations states that the thresholds for infrastructure contributions will be required where there is a net gain of three or more dwellings. Whilst this is a single application, it is clearly part of a larger site, as set out above. There will be a requirement for public open space, education, libraries, and recycling and waste contributions. The relevant sections responsible for these services have been consulted and the following requirements have been requested at the time of writing the report:-

- Education – although no secondary school places are required, there is a need for primary school places, and a contribution of £2,107 per dwelling is required; and
- Waste and recycling – no contribution is required.

The applicant has stated within the application's Planning Statement that a further contribution to services and infrastructure will be provided depending on the viability of the project. As a result, if permission was to be granted, any resolution to grant it should be subject to a legal agreement for the required financial contributions.

Sustainable Design Matters:

Both national and local Development Plan Policy requires sustainable design. Policy 29 of the Local Development Plan expects all proposals for development to demonstrate an integrated approach to design and construction, whilst Policy 32 requires sustainable drainage systems for the disposal of surface water. The application has been supported by a Code for Sustainable Homes Pre-Assessment Report. This states that the dwellings will meet a Code Level 3 rating. Subject to the standard conditioning requiring compliance with these levels, the proposal is acceptable in terms of the sustainable requirements of national and local Policy requirements.

Highways Matters:

Policies 52 and 53 of the Local Development Plan refer to traffic impacts of proposed development. The application has been supported with a Transport Statement that states that the proposal will result in an estimated 8 private car movements per day, 1 out and return pedestrian movements, and occasional cycle and commercial trips.

The Highways Officer has objected to this application on the grounds that there is no justification for further accesses to this site and the existing access could adequately serve this proposal. The proposal would proliferate access onto Blockett Lane where visibility is restricted, 2-way traffic is required to share the single lane and where there is no refuge for vulnerable road users. This forms a further reason for refusal.

Landscaping:

The elevated location of the site means that it is very visible in the wider landscape, including from the Coast Path. Although the application proposes a new hedgerow to the northern and western boundaries of the site, this is not felt to be sufficient to mitigate the change in character of the area as discussed in the sections above. This is particularly so because of the removal of the existing hedgerow that defines the eastern edge of Blockett Lane. For the reasons discussed above, it is not felt that the proposed landscaping is sufficient to ameliorate the change of character of the area or the loss of the existing hedgerow, or make the environmental improvement requirements of developing this site, contrary to adopted plan policies.

Archaeological Matters:

Policy 8 of the Local Development Plan seeks to protect the special qualities of the National Park including the protection and enhancement of the historic environment. One of the concerns with the 2010 permission was that the hedgerow bordering the eastern edge of the Lane is a Historic Hedgerow, which is of importance in both historic and ecological terms. Dyfed Archaeological Trust have previously taken the view that the hedge predates the Enclosure Act of 1845 and that it is therefore historically significant. As a result Dyfed Archaeological Trust were consulted on this application and its proposed removal. Their response was that it raised no issues and as a result no archaeological objection can be raised to this proposal.

The Water Environment and Drainage Matters:

Policy 32 of the Local Development Plan requires development to incorporate sustainable drainage systems for the disposal of water on site. The proposed dwelling would be linked to the main sewer whilst surface water would go to a soak-away. Both the Environment Agency, (now Natural Resources Wales) and Welsh Water have been consulted on the application. The former have raised no objection to the principle of the development, subject to conditions

concerning contaminated land conditions, surface water management, and permeable paving. As regards Welsh Water, they too have raised no objection to the proposal, subject to the satisfactory drainage of the site.

Contaminated Land Matters:

Chapter 13 of Planning Policy Wales (Edition 5) states that planning decisions need to take into account the potential hazard that contamination presents to the development, its occupants and the local environment, and whether any mitigation measures are needed. In view of the previous agricultural use of the site, the County Council's Public Protection Section was consulted to ascertain whether residential development on the site was acceptable in terms of any contaminated land matters that the proposal raised. This could come from both the previous use and the demolition material on site. They raised no objection in principle to the proposal subject to a condition concerning a survey of the site be undertaken if evidence of contamination is found on site.

Electricity Supply Matters:

The larger site is traversed by electricity power lines, and this plot would be affected by them. As a result Western Power Distribution were consulted, and required that the developer contact them if planning permission was granted for this plot. This could be dealt with as a conditional requirement / informative if planning permission was granted.

Coal Referral Area Matters:

The site lies in a Coal Standing Advice Area, whereby Planning Policy Wales confirms that the responsibility for determining the extent and effects of unstable ground remain with the developer, and that the responsibility and subsequent liability for safe development and secure occupancy of the site rests with the developer and / or landowner (paragraphs 13.8.3 and 13.9.2 respectively). The Coal Authority is a statutory consultee for development within defined coal mining areas, and there is a duty on the National Park Authority to consider ground stability issues when determining applications within these areas. The Coal Authority has raised no objection to the proposal, apart from requiring its Standing Advice as an informative on any planning permission.

Ministry of Defence Safeguarding Matters:

The site lies within a Ministry of Defence (MoD) Safeguarding Zone, and the MoD have been consulted to ascertain their views of the proposal. No response has been received from them.

Neighbouring Amenity Matters:

Policy 30 of the Local Development Plan refers to amenity in a general sense, seeking to avoid incompatible development and significant adverse impact upon the amenity enjoyed by neighbouring properties. The nearest existing residential property is to the south-east of the plot, on higher land, approximately 18 metres away. The southern elevation of the proposed dwelling has been designed so as to have "arrow-slit" windows, measuring approximately 30cm x 1.5m. There would be four of them on the ground floor and two on the first floor. Although these windows provide for habitable rooms, their size and location, plus the neighbouring dwelling to the south being at a higher level than the proposed, means that the overlooking impact is acceptable, subject to obscure glazing on the first floor being a conditional requirement, along the removal of permitted development rights.

Enforcement Matters:

Following the publicity procedures for the application, comment has been made that there is an unauthorised wall to the southern side of the site. It is considered unsightly and not serving any useful purpose. The matter has been referred to the Authority's Enforcement Team for investigation.

Conclusion

The proposal has been carefully considered against all material considerations and the relevant national and local development plan policies. On balance the application is recommended for refusal for four reasons. The first and second are that the proposed dwelling and the new access are considered harmful to the special qualities of the National Park. The third is that the proposal fails to provide affordable housing dwellings on site in accordance with Policy 45 and the fourth relates to highway issues.

Recommendation

Refuse for the following reasons:-

Reasons

1. Policies 8, 15, 29 and 30 of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan seek to protect and enhance the pattern and diversity of the landscape and the identity and character of villages, prevent development that fails to harmonise with or enhance the landform and landscape character of the National Park, and that is insensitively and unsympathetically sited within the landscape and visually intrusive. The proposed dwelling, due to its design and materials, its size and proportions, and its prominent and elevated location, forms a visually intrusive addition to the area that is harmful to the special qualities of the National Park and contrary to Adopted Development Plan Policy.


2. Policies 8, 15, 29 and 30 of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan seek to protect and enhance the pattern and diversity of the landscape, prevent development that fails to harmonise with or enhance the landform and landscape character of the National Park, that fails to incorporate important traditional features, and that is insensitively and unsympathetically sited within the landscape and visually intrusive. The proposed access for the dwelling, the resulting loss of existing hedgerow, and the cumulative impact of existing and other proposed accesses in the near vicinity, results in the loss of a traditional landscape feature and the rural character of the area, and is therefore insensitively and unsympathetically sited within the landscape. The proposal is considered to be harmful to the special qualities of the National Park and contrary to Adopted Development Plan Policy.

3. Policies 7 and 45 of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan require the provision of 50% affordable housing to meet the identified need in developments of 2 or more units. Footnote 145 of the Local Development Plan states that where a planning application is received for a site below the affordable housing threshold but which is part of a larger site which is above the threshold then affordable housing will be expected. The application forms part of a large site on which 50% provision of affordable housing will be sought. As neither this application nor the others submitted on the remainder of this large site proposes the required two affordable dwellings the proposal is considered contrary to Adopted Development Plan Policy.


4. Policies 52 and 53 of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan relate to sustainable transport and the impacts of traffic and seek to ensure that proposals are resisted which cause significant concerns about potential transport impacts and where access has an unacceptable impact on road safety or cause environmental damage. This application would result in an unnecessary proliferation of vehicular access points onto the country road at a location where visibility is restricted, two way traffic is required to share the single lane and there is no refuge for vulnerable road users. The proposal is therefore considered contrary to Adopted development plan policy.

Nr 12 & 79

21 SEP 2012



PLOT 3 SITE LOCATION MAP



NOTE: THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR PLANNING/BUILDING PURPOSES ONLY. THEY DO NOT CONSTITUTE A GUARANTEE OF ACCURACY OR A CONTRACT. THE CLIENT ACCEPTS FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CONTENTS OF THESE DRAWINGS. (These plans of drawings are to be used as a guide only.)

Client: Tot and Tann Thomas


Job: The Old Factory, Blockett Lane

Drawing: Site Location Map

Scale: @ A3

Drawn by: EOK

Date: 08/12




WATERMAN'S LANE
THE GREEN
PEMBROKESHIRE
WALES SA71 4NU
www.acanthusholden.co.uk
TEL: (01469) 68477
FAX: (01469) 61220

Job No: 1091

Drawing No: SC013/

OS LICENSE
NO. 100009768





PLOT 3
PROPOSED SITE LAYOUT PLAN
SITE AREA = 1,633 SQ.M.



V. Cundy, *University of
Birmingham, Birmingham
Psychobiology Lab.
01-422 228 217
0151 422 764
v.cundy@bham.ac.uk*

[illegible]

Chorus Tot and Tann Thomas

Drawing Proposed Site Layout Plan

1.250
Rev. 02/02 EOK 08/12



ACANTHUS
HOLDEN

ambrosia planar
pencil cyrtus

WATERLOO 3 LANE
THE ORLEN
PEMBROKE
PEMBROKESHIRE


WALE SA71-KNU
www.as-scholar.co.uk/asknuc
askdirect@www.scholar-bath.ac.uk
TEL (01636) 631111
FAX (01636) 631112

1091 P005 3/



METAL CLAD ROOF





WEST ELEVATION

21 SEP 2012

[illegible]

PROPOSED GROUND FLOOR PLAN





PROPOSED GROUND FLOOR PLAN

PLOT 3

Hayston
Developments
& Planning Ltd

10 Church, Newbury, Berks
RG13 2JH
01356 811 124
info@haystonplanning.co.uk

ACANTHUS HOLDEN

WATERMAN'S LANE
THE GREEN
PEMBROKE
WALLES SA71 4NU
www.acanthus.co.uk/holden
Tel: 01646 612220
Fax: 01646 612220

Client: **Tot and Tann Thomas**

Job: **The Old Factory, Blockett Lane**

Drawing: **Plot 3**

Scale: **1:100**

Sheet: **@ A3**

Drawn By: **EOK**

Date: **08/12**

Job No: **1091**

Rev: **P121**

NOTE: THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR PLANNING/CONSULTATION PURPOSES ONLY AND ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION. ANY CHANGES TO THE DESIGN MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ARCHITECT. COPYRIGHT © 2012 ACANTHUS HOLDEN

21 SEP 2012

21 SEP 2012



SITE BOUNDARY

00.500 1.500 2.500 3.500 4.500 M

SCALE BAR



Hayston
Developments
& Planning Ltd

[illegible]

NOTE: THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR PLANNING/BUILDING REGULATIONS PURPOSES ONLY. THEY DO NOT REPRESENT FULL CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS, SCHEDULES OR SPECIFICATIONS.
Copyright Peter Holden (Note: prints of drawings not to trace over)

Client: Tot and Tann Thomas

The Old Factory. Blockett Lane

Drawing Plot 3

Scale @ A3 Drawn by EOK


08/12

1091


P122

W 12 x 1

21 SEP 2012




SECTION B-B



SECTION A-A



PLOT 3




Hayston
Developments
& Planning Ltd
11-13, High Street,
Plymouth PL4 8AP
Tel: 01752 817 341
Fax: 01752 817 342
www.hayston-planning.co.uk

NOTE: THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR PLANNING BUILDING
CONTRACTOR DRAWINGS. SCHEDULES OF MATERIALS
SHOULD BE PREPARED BY THE CONTRACTOR.
All dimensions are in millimetres unless otherwise stated.


Rev	Date	Description
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		
61		
62		
63		
64		
65		
66		
67		
68		
69		
70		
71		
72		
73		
74		
75		
76		
77		
78		
79		
80		
81		
82		
83		
84		
85		
86		
87		
88		
89		
90		
91		
92		
93		
94		
95		
96		
97		
98		
99		
100		

Client: Tot and Tann Thomas
Job: The Old Factory, Blockett Lane
Drawing: Plot 3
Scale: 1:100
Sheet: A3
Drawn By: EOK
Date: 08/12


ACANTHUS HOLDEN
WATERMAN'S LANE
WELLS GREEN
PENBROKESHIRE
WALES SA71 4NU
www.acanthusholden.co.uk
TEL: (01646) 658572
FAX: (01646) 631220
Job No: 1091
Drawing No: P123




WEST ELEVATION




SOUTH ELEVATION




EAST ELEVATION



NORTH ELEVATION



PROPOSED GARAGE FLOOR PLAN



21 SEP 2012

GARAGE
PLOT 3

Hayston
Developments
& Planning Ltd

11, Elm, Hayston Road
Hayston, Herefordshire
HR12 2PR
01532 812121
01532 812122
info@haystonplanning.co.uk
haystonplanning.co.uk

ACANTHUS HOLDEN

WATERMAN'S LANE
THE GREEN
PENBROKE
PENBROKE
WALLES SA71 4NU
www.acanthus.co.uk/holden
info@acanthus.co.uk
TEL: (01462) 621220
FAX: (01462) 621221

Client: **Tot and Tann Thomas**

Job: **The Old Factory, Blockett Lane**

Drawing: **Plot 3**
Scale: **1:100**
Sheet: **@ A3** Date: **08/12**

Revisions:

Date	By	Description
	REV	

NOTE: THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR PLANNING/BUILDING
CONSTRUCTION ONLY. THEY ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR
CONSTRUCTION OF ANY OTHER TYPE OF STRUCTURE.
Copyright: Hayston Developments & Planning Ltd